

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency Department of Health Services



GRAY DAVIS Governor

Director

November 14, 2003

TO:

ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

Letter No.:03-52

ALL COUNTY WELFARE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

ALL COUNTY MEDI-CAL PROGRAM SPECIALISTS/LIAISONS

ALL COUNTY HEALTH EXECUTIVES

ALL COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS

ALL COUNTY MEDS LIAISONS

SUBJECT:

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS - ON MEDI-CAL SENATE BILL 87 REDETERMINATION PROCESS FOR ALL DISCONTINUED SSI/SSP BENEFICIARIES AS ORDERED IN THE CRAIG v. BONTÁ LAWSUIT (Reference: All County Welfare Directors Letters Nos.: 01-36, 01-39,

02-40, 02-45, 02-48, 02-54, 02-59 and 03-24)

This All County Welfare Directors Letter (ACWDL) provides the answers to some recent county questions pertaining to Senate Bill (SB) 87 (Chapter 1088, Statutes of 2000) and the policy directives in ACWDL 03-24. The county questions (in bold) on the SB 87 Redetermination process for beneficiaries who are discontinued from Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) are grouped by the Craig policy topic in the recent ACWDL 03-24 and the referenced page number.

CRAIG RECORDS - ACWDL 03-24, Page 5 and 6

1. The letter states the Notice Type 87 information will be displayed on the Medi-Cal Information Inquiry (INQM) screen on MEDS. This information is currently displayed on the Pending/Denied Application (INQP) screen. Are there any plans to put the notice information on the INQM screen?

No. Due to space limitations on the INQM screen, Notice Type 87 information will remain on the INQP screen. The Pickle Indicator, eligibility status code, termination date, termination reason and government responsibility code are the Craig related data elements that will appear on the INQM screen.



Do your part to help California save energy. To learn more about saving energy, visit the following web site: www.consumerenergycenter.org/flex/index.html

All County Welfare Directors Letter No.: 03-52 Page 2

MEDS TRANSACTIONS - ACWDL 03-24, Page 3

2. What are the required fields in the AP 18 transaction specific to a *Craig* record? The accelerated enrollment program requires the AP 18 transaction include the county identification (ID), MEDS ID, birth date, sex, last name, first name, application date, application flag, denial date, and denial reason. Are these same fields of information required on the AP 18 transaction when reporting the *Craig* individuals?

The *Craig* individuals are already known to MEDS. The required fields on the AP18 transaction are those required to report an application, such as the county ID, MEDS ID, birth date, application date, and application flag. The application date for a *Craig* record will always be the date the county begins the SB 87 Redetermination process. The application flag would most likely be a "P" for pending application, including Medi-Cal, with no general relief.

If the SB 87 Redetermination is done prior to sending the AP18 transaction and it is determined the person is not eligible for Medi-Cal, then the same required fields, specified above, would be completed in addition to the denial date and the denial reason. If the person is determined ineligible after sending the initial AP 18 transaction, then a follow-up AP34 transaction would be required to shut down the pending application on MEDS that includes the denial date and denial reason. Preferably NOA date should also be included on the AP18 or AP34 to allow a more timely termination of the *Craig* eligibility in accordance with timely NOA requirements.

If the person is determined eligible after sending the AP18 transaction, then an EW20 or EW05 transaction that reports current or pending eligibility to a specific aid code, other than a *Craig* aid code, would be required.

3. The application date to process an AP 18 transaction for an accelerated enrollment is usually found on the MEDS INQP (Pending/Denied Application) screen. The date for the SSI/SSP records will not be on the INQP inquiry screen, so what date does the county use when sending the AP 18 transaction on a *Craig* case?

The application date on the AP18 transaction would be the date the county begins the SB 87 Redetermination process. After submitting the AP18 transaction, the county must follow-up with either an AP34 transaction in order to

shut down a MEDS record or an EW20 or EW05 transaction in order to establish new eligibility on MEDS in a different aid code.

4. Does ACWDL 03-24 specify what must be done on MEDS with an In-Home Support Services (IHSS) record that is impacted by the *Craig* lawsuit?

In most cases, the county will not be able to determine from MEDS what discontinued SSI/SSP case record also received IHSS services. These individuals will be intermixed with all the other *Craig* individuals on the Exception Eligibles report.

Whether or not the individual is an existing IHSS client, all SB 87 Redeterminations must begin with a MEDS AP18 transaction to establish the date the county began processing the case. The county must then check all available electronic or hard copy public assistance records, including the IHSS files. After contacting the individual and obtaining the necessary information to determine Medi-Cal eligibility, a follow-up to the MEDS AP18 transaction is required. If eligible, the county would submit an EW20 transaction to report eligibility in a new aid code. If ineligible, the county would submit an AP34 transaction to MEDS to discontinue the *Craig* aid code.

5. If the SB 87 Redetermination process is done prior to sending the AP18 transaction, and it is determined the person is not eligible for Medi-Cal, do the same fields of information on the AP18 transaction get processed along with the denial date and denial reason?

Yes. The AP18 transaction sent to MEDS must use the County ID assigned by the county (for example, 42-64-01234556-1-01) in the COUNTY ID field. If a county controlled aid code has not yet been assigned, IE should be reported in the aid code field. If an FBU has not yet been assigned, then a "0" (zero) should be reported in the FBU field. *Craig* aid codes may not be used as they are not county controlled aid codes. The County ID identifies the county case that contains the information supporting the denial action and so it is required.

6. Does the county report MEDS eligibility for a *Craig* individual as a new eligible or as an inter program transfer?

The county should grant the case as a newly determined eligible on MEDS.

All County Welfare Directors Letter No.: 03-52 Page 4

COUNTY REPORTS - ACWDL 03-04, Page 6

7. Is it possible for counties to get copies of the old *Ramos* reports, starting with when *Craig* began?

No. The old MEDS logic for producing the *Ramos v. Meyers* reports was replaced by the new Exception Eligibles Reports.

8. When will the counties receive the first exception eligibles report and will it start with *Ramos* up through April, or will it also include May 2003?

The first County Exception Eligibles reports went out at the end of July 2003 for August 2003 month of eligibility. The reports include all *Craig* eligibles back to June 2002 excluding those who died, who moved out-of-state, who were reinstated back into SSI/SSP, or who received a county processed SB 87 Redetermination. Before August 2003, counties received special ad hoc reports to help with the case processing.

MEDI-CAL ANNUAL REDETERMINATION DATE - ACWDL 03-24, Page 9

9. For a backlogged *Craig* case, if the worker does an SB 87 Redetermination on September 15, 2003, would the annual review date be in September 2004?

Yes.

10. For an on-going *Craig* case, if the SSA discontinuance date is in July 2003 and the county does an SB 87 Redetermination in September 2003, would the annual review date be in July 2004?

Yes.

<u>TIMEFRAMES</u> – Attachment A, Pages 2 and 3

11. Some counties have voiced concerns at the County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA) meetings regarding insufficient funding to work the *Craig* cases. How did the Department of Health Services (DHS) determine the county allocations for working these cases?

The money budgeted for the *Craig* case was based on the county workload requirements to complete the SB 87 Redetermination.

All County Welfare Directors Letter No.: 03-52 Page 5

VERIFICATIONS – Attachment A, Page 4

12. Does the *Craig* case information meet the requirements for verification of identity under 50167(a)(6)?

Yes, the *Craig* case as presented on MEDS does verify identity in accordance with 50167(a)(6). The county, however, is not precluded from requesting verification of property, income, or anything else necessary to adequately determine Medi-Cal eligibility. The county must use the MC 355 form when requesting verification information.

13. Counties are not required to enter codes for citizens, but are required to enter refugee alien tracking codes on all aliens. Is it correct to assume that SSA has already entered these codes? Is verification of alien status required?

California uses the alien tracking codes referenced here to properly reflect the federal/state funding for services provided to aliens. Generally SSA does provide these codes. Verification of alien status is not required until the annual redetermination unless the county is verifying a change in immigration status.

CONTINUOUS ELIGIBILITY FOR CHILDREN – Attachment A, Page 5

14. Has the SSA been informed that counties will be contacting their offices to request the last SSI/SSP redetermination date to determine eligibility for the continuous eligibility for children (CEC) program?

The SSA received a copy of ACWDL 03-24, and they are aware of the Craig v. Bontá lawsuit. They are also aware that counties will need the last SSI/SSP redetermination date to correctly determine the CEC program eligibility.

FORMS - Attachment A, Pages 7 and 8

15. The beneficiary does not have to complete an MC13 form unless there is a change in citizenship status. Does this also mean a change in alien status? For example, if the county knows the individual is an alien, but they do not admit they have a change in alien or citizenship status, do they still need to complete the MC13 form?

In accordance with ACWDL 02-59, Question and Answer A-1, the beneficiary only needs to complete an MC 13 when there is a change in citizenship or immigration status. (ACWDL 02-59, Questions and Answer, A-1 also applies to immigrants.) Completion of an MC 13 form is appropriate if the worker finds conflicting information regarding a beneficiary's citizenship or immigration status during the redetermination process.

OTHER – Attachment A, Page 9

16. When can the county use the modified MEDS EW55 transaction?

There are no plans to modify MEDS EW55 transaction at this time. MEDS EW12 transaction has been modified to allow counties to report address changes and other client data corrections using the *Craig* County ID.

17. The counties would like DHS to develop and include a chart that identifies each category of SSA discontinuance, the code, the potential aid types, the Pickle indicator codes, and any other special information pertaining to this specific group. How soon can we expect the chart?

A chart is enclosed as Attachment A. Ms. Carol Roach in Los Angeles County created it to incorporate into the training materials for the SB 87 Redetermination process. Los Angeles County has agreed to share it with other counties as a policy resource.

18. How are the county mental health plans notified about the *Craig* aid codes (or any new aid codes)? When the new codes are not on the plan list, patients in these aid codes could be turned away and county staff, in health care and in social services, spend numerous hours taking calls and resolving problems. Providers also take on these calls and this results in the disruption of office appointments.

The Department of Mental Health is on an internal Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch, Operation Instruction Letter (OIL) distribution list that informs all impacted parties when new aid codes are being implemented. Mental health care providers must verify Medi-Cal eligibility prior to providing services. If the person is eligible, the eligibility verification response for aid codes 1E, 2E, and 6E will be full-scope, no-SOC Medi-Cal coverage.

19. It was stated at one of the CWDA Meetings that the "money is in the budget, but just not allocated out. The county must hire workers and

All County Welfare Directors Letter No.: 03-52

Page 7

submit claims." With the budget situation as it is, are counties getting the funding they need to do *Craig*?

The Department's County Administrative Expense Unit in the Fiscal Forecasting and Data Management Branch notified counties of these funds via an allocation letter on August 21, 2003, and followed-up with an advance letter on September 10, 2003. The eligibility workers will need to complete time studies so counties can claim the time they are charging to this activity.

The following Medi-Cal Eligibility program specialists are available to discuss program issues in the areas indicated below.

Craig Lawsuit	Maureen McCreary	(916) 552-9515
Pickle, DAC, and Disabled Widows	Cecelia Kelley	(916) 552-9485
No Longer Disabled	Betty Mosher	(916) 552-9494
Long Term Care/Property	Sharyl Shanen-Raya	(916) 552-9449

If you have any questions on the information contained in this letter, please feel free to contact Ms. Maureen McCreary of my staff at (916) 552-9515.

Original signed by

Beth Fife, Chief Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch

Enclosure

CRAIG-AT-A-GLANCE

1							
Frogram	l ype	Persons Eligible	Immigratio n Status	Benetits	Property Limits	Income Limits	Other Information
Pickle	ပ	Must meet ALL criteria: Currently	Satisfactory	Zero Share of	SSI Property Rules	SSI Income Rules	RSDI COLA Disregard Computation (DHS
(Dickle		April 1077 has received or entitled to	Ctot::0 (CIC)	ison		i	:
Amendment		receive both PSDI and Title XVI SSI	Status (SIS)	المستون 10	\$2,000 - 1 person	Use Pickle Financial	Compute Pickle Determinations for all
(11)		benefits in the same month: been	California	Full coverage	#3,000 - 2 persons	(DIE 7024)	eligible and/or ineligible spouse's KSDI
		discontinued from SSI/SSP for any	Residence		Use Pickle	(170, 2017)	Deficies.
		reason; received an RSDI COLA			Resource		Pickle determinations must be completed at
		increase in any month since SSI/SSP			Worksheet		Intake, at yearly redeterminations, and at
		was discontinued; would be entitled to receive SSI/SSP if the RSDI COLAS			(DHS7037)		the time of the annual RSDI COLA.
		(received after SSI/SSP was					The actual receipt of the SSI benefit is
		discontinued) were disregarded					required by federal statute, but only
							entitlement to receive the Title II benefit is
							needed to meet the Pickle eligibility
Disabled	-	Be at least age 18; and have	SIS	Zero Share of	SSI Property Rules	SSI Income Rules	Pickle determination must be completed at
Adult Child	· · · ·	previously received SSI/SSP based		Cost		(Same computations	Intake, at yearly redetermination and at the
(DAC)		on blindness or disability; been	California		(Same	as Pickle except that	time of the annual COLA.
		discontinued from SSI/SSP due to	Residence	BIC Issued/Full	computation as	the ONLY	
		Child's benefit or an increase in		adooc	rickie)	is counted the	For anyone discontinued from SSI/SSP after language 1987 a Discontinued Computation
		such childhood disability benefits				Disabled Adult Child	Worksheet is unnecessary since no
		(on or after July 1, 1987); based on a				Title II benefit is	adjustment is needed and the actual DAC-
		disability which began before age				excluded)	RSDI amount is reported on the DAC report.
Disabled	*	Widowferls and some surviving	515	Zaro Share of	SSI Bronosty Bulos	CCI Income Bules	Individual of atthe
Widow(ers)	:	divorced spouses who have a	200	Cost	soi riobeity vales	(Same computations	individual englote under Titte II for disabled widow benefits may also be receiving Title II
į		disability who were receiving	California		(Same	as Pickle)	benefits for another reason in addition to
(Pickle Amendment)		SSI/SSP but lost SSI/SSP due to	Residence	BIC issued/	computations as		the widows insurance benefits. The amount
()		currently eligible to Medicare Part A:		offered on L	rickle)		of the disregard is the total amount of the Title II Section 202 benefits. Do not
		are at least age 50 but under age 65					disregard any Title II Section 223 disability
		and would continue to be eligible for	-				benefits.
		SSI/SSF benefits if they were not receiving Title II henefits					to be be about the second to t
							Intake, yearly redeterminations and at the
Long Torm	-	1001100	VI C		:		time of the annual RSDI COLA.
Care (LTC)	.	Lost Solvoor due to pracement in a long-term care facility or who were	<u>ci</u>	Cost/ Share of	Medi-Cal Property Rules	No income limit	
		discontinued for any reason while in	California	Cost			
		the LTC on SSI or who was admitted to the LTC after the SSI	Residence		\$2,000 - 1 person \$3 000 - 2 persons		
		discontinuance.			2002134 200134		
					MCCA Rules as		
					ACWDL 90-01 for		
	İ				spouse cases		
No Longer Disabled	<u> </u>	Lost SSI/SSP due to the fact that the individual was defermined "no	Evaluate for all oth	ner potential Medi-C	Evaluate for all other potential Medi-Cal eligibility (linkage).		
(NLD)		longer disabled".	Note: Just becaus	se the beneficiary w	as found to not meet the	e definition of a disabled in	Note: Just because the beneficiary was found to not meet the definition of a disabled individual for the purposes of SSI/SSP does not
			mean that that sar	ne individual cannol	t be considered incapac	itated and, therefore, othe	wise linked to some Medi-Cal programs such as
		process or did not file an appeal	Section 1931(b).				
All Others	×	Persons who meet	This group represe	ents all other Craig	v. Bonta persons who do	This group represents all other Craig v. Bonta persons who do not fall into one of the above groups.	ove groups.
		linkage/deprivation requirements			- !		-